IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO: 24703 of 1998

Between:

K.Vishnucharan Reddy, S/o. Bhaskar Reddy,
R/o. Gandhinagar, Hyderabad.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
2 Dy. Commissioner of Police, West Zone, Hyderabad.
3 S.H.O., Panjagutta P.S., Hyderabad.
4 M.Krishna Reddy, S/o. Malla Reddy, Major
R/o.3-1-524 Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
Dismissed for default vide court order dt.4.4.03.
5 M.Narayana Reddy, S/o. Chandra Reddy,
Plot:23, Aditya Apartments, Somajiguda, Hyderabad Dismissed

for default vide court order dt.4.4.03.
6 M.Ram Reddy, S/o. Satta Reddy,
R/o.6-1-526, Khairatabad,
Hyderabad. Dismissed for default vide court order dt.4.4.03.
7 M.Raghav Reddy, S/o. Malla Reddy,
R/o. Raghava Cottage, Panjagutta,
Hyderabad.
8 M.Gopal Reddy, R/o. 3-1-524, Khairatabad,
Hyderabad. Dismissed for default vide court order dt.4.4.03.
9 M.Damodar Reddy, S/o. Narada Reddy,
R/o.6-1-526, Khairatabad,
Hyderabad, Dismissed for default vide court order dt.4.4.03.
10 M.Papa Reddy, R/o.3-1-524, Khairatabad,
Hyderabad.

(RR, 4,5,8 and 9 dismissed for default vide Court Order dt.4/4/03)

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ and direct the respondents 1 to 3 to provide police protection to the petitioner for effective enforcement of the order of injunction in I.A.No.1557/95 in O.S.No.5207 of 95 on the file of the V Assistant Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad, relating to 3000 sq yards of land in Sy.No.149 of Panjagutta, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.VENKATARAMANA

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondents No.7: Mr. A. Sanjeeva Reddy

Counsel for the Resopndent Nos. 6 and 10: None appears

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner, that in view of the interim orders dated 09-09-1998 passed by this Court, no further orders need be passed in the writ petition and the same has become infructuous.

Recording the above submission made by the learned counsel, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

February 28, 2005

MRR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

Copy to:

1 Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
2 Dy. Commissioner of Police, West Zone, Hyderabad.
3 S.H.O., Panjagutta P.S., Hyderabad.
4 Two C.C. s to the G.P. for Home, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad

(OUT)

5 Two C.D. Copies.